Employment on Compassionate Grounds in Public Sector Udertakings

880. SHRI KALPNATH RAI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether provisions exist in public sector undertakings to provide employment on compassionate grounds to members of family of a Government servant dying in harness;

(b) whether there are any public sector undertakings which do not follow the above policy; and

(c) if so, what are the names of such undertakings and what are the reasons for their not following the policy laid down by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. K. K. TEWARY): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Collaboration with Pepsico

881. PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in the earlier proposal of M/s. Agro Products Exports India Private Limited with the multinational Pepsico, the technical know-how fees was only Rs. 14 lacs and it was rejected by Government and in case of Punjab Agro proposal, the fee have jumped to Rs. 1 crore and if so, what are the reasons assigned for the large increase; and

(b) what was the technical know-how fees paid by Coca Cola when they were in India and what is Pepsi going to do which is more technologically advanced to what Coca Cola was doing or what was proposed with Agro Products Exports India Limited which was rejected?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir. In the proposal of M/s. Agro Products Exports India Private Limited, the amount of Rs. 14 lacs was the estimated annual payment of dividends on the equity participation of M/s. Pepsico Incorporated, USA. In the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation's proposal, the lumpsum payment of Rs. 1 crore is for technical services for the entire project including of all operations such as fruit and vegetable processing, potato and grain processing etc.

(b) The Coca Cola Export Corporation, which was operating in India, was a wholly owned branch of Coca Cola of USA. There were transfer of profits and other forms of remittances between the Indian branch and the parent company. The manufacturing programme of Coca Cola Export Corporation or M/s. Agro Products Exports India Private Limited are not comparable with those of the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation as the latter covers several activities such as an Agro Research Centre, potato and grain processing unit, fruit and vegetable processing unit as well as soft drink concentrate unit.

Sick Industrial Units

882. SHRI SHANKER SINH VAGHELA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) how many industrial units are reported to be sick all over the country, State-wise;

(b) how many of them are small, medium and large scale industries, State-wise;

(c) the total amount of finance relating to banks whch is involved in those sick units State-wise; and

(d) the total amount of other State and Central Public Financial Institutions involved in these sick units, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) Data on sick industrial units assisted by banks in the country are collected by the Reserve Bank of India as per the definition of sickness adopted by it. The latest data as available from the RBI for the period ending December 1985 are given in the attached statement. (*See* Appendix CXLII, Annexure No. 55].

(d) The details regarding the amounts of other State Governments and Central Public Financial Institutions involved in sick units are not centrally maintained in this Ministry.

Industrial Houses out of MRTP control

883. SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state the particulars of the industrial houses which will not be under MRTP control as per Government's latest industrial policy statement?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): It is presumed that the Hon'ble Member is referring to the 1985 amendment to the MRTP Act raising the threshold limit for registration under the MRTP Act from rupees twenty crores to rupees one hundred crores with effect from 29th May, 1985. A statement is annexed (*See* below) showing the names of industrial houses whose undertakings have got out of the purview of the MRTP Act during the period 29th May, 1985 to 31st March, 1987 due to increase in the assets limit from rupees twenty crores to rupees one hundred crores.

Statement

Names of industrial houses whose undertakings have got out of the purview of the MRTP Act during the period 29-5-1985 to 31-3-198 due to increase in the assets limit from rupee twenty crores to rupees one hundred crores.

Sl. No.	Name of Industrial House
1	Allana
2	Amar Dye Chem
3	Amrit Banaspati
4	Andhra Sugar
5	B.D. Kanoria
6	B.D. Somani
7	Best & Crompton
8	Bharat Forge
9	Bharat Vijay Mills
10	Bhilwara
11	Bird*
12	Central Pulp*
13	Colour Chem
14	Davy Ashmore
15	Dharamsi Morarji
16	Enfield
17	Gajra Gears
18	Gammon India
19	Ghia
20	Gillaners Arbuthnot
21	Gujarat Steel Tubes
22	Hindustan Dorr Oliver
23	Industrial Cables
24	Jaiswal
25	J.V. Patel
26	Jyoti*
27	K.G. Khosla
28	Kanoria Jute
29	Kothari
30	Madras Cement*
31	Mahindra Mills
32	Maneklal Harilal
33	Mcleod Russel
34	Nagarjuna Steels
35	Nilhat Shipping*
36	Oswal Woollen Mills
37	Pfizer
38	Piramal
39	Prataplal Bhogilal*
40	R.N. Goenka
41	Reliance Jute

Some undertakings belonging to these houses have not yet been deregistered as they have not applied for deregistration.